

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	Fl	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/639,207	08/14/2000		Parsa Kazemi-Esfarjani	06618-686001	9459
20985	7590	12/09/2003		EXAMINER	
FISH & RIC		,	SULLIVAN, DANIEL M		
12390 EL CA SAN DIEGO				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
				1636	

DATE MAILED: 12/09/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			C
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/639,207	KAZEMI-ESFARJANI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	Daniel M Sullivan	1636	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versilize to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 Section</u>	eptember 2003.		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E			
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 26,29-32,34,37-40 and 42-79 is/are p 4a) Of the above claim(s) 47-49 and 51-79 is/ar 5) Claim(s) 26,29-32,34,37-40 and 50 is/are allow 6) Claim(s) 42 and 43 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 44-46 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	re withdrawn from consideration ved.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated and accomplicate and any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic since a specific reference was included in the firs 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language pro 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the	s have been received. s have been received in Applicative documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not receive priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 at sentence of the specification of the certified copies and the specification of the specification application has been received and the specification of the specification of the specification and the specification of the specificati	tion No yed in this National Stage red. (e) (to a provisional application or in an Application Data She ceived. 0 and/or 121 since a specific	et.
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) J.S. Patent and Trademark Office	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	

PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03)

Application/Control Number: 09/639,207

Art Unit: 1636

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is a reply to the "AMENDMENT" of 15 September 2003 (hereinafter, 15 September Paper) filed in response to the Non-Final Office Action mailed 19 June 2003 (hereinafter, 19 June Office Action). Claims 26, 29-35, 37-40, 42-46 and 50 were considered in the 19 June Office Action. Claims 33 and 35 were canceled and claims 26, 34, 37, 39 and 50 were amended in the 15 September paper. Claims 26, 29-32, 34, 37-40 and 42-79 are pending, claims 47-49 and 51-79 are withdrawn from consideration. Claims 26, 29-32, 34, 37-40, 42-46 and 50 are presently under consideration.

Response to Amendment

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 42 and 43 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement for reasons of record and herein below in the response to arguments.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Rejection of claims 26, 29-34, 37-40 and 50 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Warrick *et al.* as evidenced by Paulson is withdrawn.

Rejection of claims 26 and 42 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Warrick et al. as evidenced by Paulson and in view of Rørth is withdrawn.

Response to Arguments

Claims 42 and 43 were rejected as lacking adequate written description for a genus of *D. melanogaster* comprising a marker located adjacent to or inserted into any gene whose expression or activity increases or decreases polyglutamine toxicity in the animal. In response to the rejection of record, Applicant urges, because claim 26 has not been rejected as lacking adequate written description, claims 42 and 43, which depend from claim 26 and incorporate additional elements, must also satisfy the written description requirement. This argument has been fully considered but is not deemed persuasive because it is the additional elements recited in claims 42 and 43 that are not adequately described. Claim 26 has not been rejected because the transgenic *D. melanogaster* of the claim is not limited to comprising a marker located adjacent to or inserted into any gene whose expression or activity increases or decreases polyglutamine toxicity in the animal. Because the *D. melanogaster* of claim 26 is not limited to comprising a marker gene adjacent to or inserted into a gene having the recited activity, the description of claim 26 need not demonstrate possession of the genus at issue in claims 42 and 43.

With regard to claim 43, Applicant further argues that "J domain" containing molecules are both structurally and functionally recognized in the art and therefore demonstrate that the inventors had possession of the claimed invention. This argument is not found persuasive because there is no demonstrated nexus between the "J domain" structure and the recited function of increases or decreases polyglutamine toxicity. That is, the skilled artisan would not recognize the "J domain" structure as a relevant identifying characteristic of the genus of genes capable of increasing or decreasing polyglutamine toxicity.

Application/Control Number: 09/639,207

Art Unit: 1636

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but are not deemed persuasive individually or as a whole. Therefore claims 42 and 43 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 26, 29-32, 34, 37-40 and 50 are allowed.

Claims 44-46 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/639,207

Art Unit: 1636

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel M Sullivan whose telephone number is 703-305-4448. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Remy Yucel, Ph.D. can be reached on 703-305-1998. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Please note: Art Unit 1636 will be moving to the new USPTO facilities on 14 January 2004. After that date, Examiner Sullivan can be reached at 571-272-0779 and Examiner Yucel can be reached at 571-272-0781.

Anne-Marie Dalk AMNE-MARIE FALK, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINED

DMS